Andrew Bishop

From: Jane Fulton

Sent: 20 July 2021 13:24

To:

Cc: Nigel Lynn; Karl Roberts; neil.crowther@arun.gov.uk.; Committees; Solomon Agutu;

Infomanagement

RE: Pagham Petition Subject:

Dear Mr and Mrs Rawlins

Thank you for your email of yesterday – 19 July 2021.

The minutes from the Council meeting held on 14 July 2021 will be published tomorrow. The minutes will set out the result of the recorded vote and you can also retrieve this information from the webcast of the meeting which can be found using this link: https://democracy.arun.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=141&Mld=1491&Ver=4

I have forwarded your email to the Council's information management section in respect of your request to receive information confirming those Councillors that are Planning trained.

I will respond separately to your email of 15 July 2021.

Yours sincerely

Jane Fulton

Jane Fulton

Committee Services Manager - Corporate Support Directorate

T: 01903 737611

E: jane.fulton@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF www.arun.gov.uk

Sign up to our newsletter here







Sent: 19 July 2021 10:20

To: Jane Fulton < Jane. Fulton@arun.gov.uk>

Cc: Nigel Lynn < Nigel.Lynn@arun.gov.uk >; Karl Roberts < Karl.Roberts@arun.gov.uk >; neil.crowther@arun.gov.uk.

Subject: FW: Pagham Petition

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Ms Fulton,

I refer to my email below dated 15th July, can you please supply me with a list of all Councillors who actually voted or abstained at The Full Council meeting on 14th July regarding revocation or **MODIFICATION** of outline planning permissions together with those who were actually fully trained to do so under your constitution, which clearly you are not adhering to.

Again, I request safe receipt of this email **by return** as we are contemplating expert legal advice and need to act as quickly as we possibly can.

Yours sincerely
Nigel & Sara Rawlins

Fron

Sent: 15 July 2021 16:04

To: 'Jane Fulton' < Jane.Fulton@arun.gov.uk>

Subject: Pagham Petition

Dear Mrs Fulton,

Referring to your email dated 9th June whereby point 7 states, 'If you feel that the Council has not dealt with your petition properly, you have the right to request that the Council's Audit & Governance Committee reviews the steps that the Council has taken in responding to your petition', could you please refer the email below to the Councils Audit & Governance Committee on our behalf. If you are unable to do this, could you please send their email address or contact details accordingly.

Finally, please acknowledge the safe receipt of this email, many thanks.

Yours sincerely Sara Rawlins

Dear Sirs,

I wish to make a formal complaint on behalf of our petitioners regarding the improper and atrocious manner that Aruns Monitoring Officer dealt with our petition and its representation at the Full Council meeting on 14th July 2021. He has misled our Councillors purposely with false information and used it as a scare-mongering tac-tic. He failed completely to represent our petition in a fair and unbiased manner and we have the paperwork as evidence to prove it. He continues to refuse to release the disclaimed votes for our perusal and has delayed our ongoing complaint prior to the Ombudsman being involved for so long, he is actually out of time.

Arun have refused to hand over 1,300 signatures, which they state are unacceptable and not given reasons as to why. The Monitoring Officer stated on record that the petitioners only wanted the Council to consider revocation only. Absolutely not true. He completely misrepresented our petition statement and final representation statement, which was insisted upon by him. Our statement actually read and included 'Section 97 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) which reads as follows: 97 Power to Revoke <u>OR</u> <u>MODIFY</u> Planning Permission'. He did this purposely to mislead the Council members. He also stated on record that the cost for compensation could run to many millions of pounds when in fact, Outline Planning Permission certainly does not have the value that land with Full Planning Permission has. He blatantly supported a figure of around £50,000 a plot, which is so inaccurate, the figure could only have been used to deceive and insure the successful outcome for him and Arun Planning Department.

I request confirmation of this email by return and look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely Nigel & Sara Rawlins